

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/659,263 09/11/2003 Hideto Nakamura Q77329 3529 08/07/2007 **EXAMINER** SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW SHERMAN, STEPHEN G Washington, DC 20037-3213 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2629 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE 08/07/2007 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/659,263	NAKAMURA ET AL	NAKAMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Stephen G. Sherman	2629			

Dototo tito i iiiig of all rippout 2010.	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Stephen G. Sherman	2629	!	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 07/17/2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	ns of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
AMENDMENTS				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 				
(b) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	· ·	ected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut hafara ar an tha data of filing a N	letics of Associatill se	at he entered	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filingentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
AMR A. AWAD				
CURERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		Amr Awad		
for the three		SPE Art Unit: 2629		

Application No. 10/659,263

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1 and 4 were amended to show that the first voltage and the second voltae are applied "at the same time". Such limitation requires further search and/or considereation.